

Munich School of Management

What does Market Share Buy You? An Empirical Investigation of First-Mover Advantages in the Mobile Phone Industry.

(with Michal Grajek, ESMT Berlin)

Tobias Kretschmer

Institute for Communication Economics
www.ice.bwl.uni-muenchen.de

Workshop on Effective Competition in Network Industries,
Gießen, 28/05/2010



Motivation

- Mobile operators compete fiercely for (subscriber) market share, esp. in the early stages of the market.
 - Yet, most of an operator's revenues come from follow-up purchase of services (call minutes) rather than first adoptions, so discounting heavily to gain adopters may be counterproductive if these adopters do not call intensively.
- Operators also spend huge amounts of money on branding, advertising etc., presumably to build brand reputation.
 - However, reputation may also stem from incumbency in previous technological generations.
- Existing literature mainly considers a single output measure (market share) and a single definition of first mover (first entrant) to identify FMA.
 - This may not be appropriate for complex goods, and
 - does not allow (m)any statements about the likely source of FMA.

Questions

1. Is a first-mover advantage (FMA) in terms of market penetration sufficient to generate advantages in terms of usage intensity of the technology?
 - Mobile telephony → # of subscribers vs. minutes called
2. Is there an FMA regardless of how the identity of a first-mover is defined – as an early entrant or incumbent in the previous generation of the technology?
 - Mobile telephony → early cellphone entrant vs. fixed-line incumbent
3. Do FMA erode over time or are they stable?
 - FMA → persistent differences vs. fleeting advantages

Entry in Mobile Markets

- No free entry – a national licence required:
 - Typical # of licences varies between 2 and 4 per country
 - Clear definition of early entrant: Whoever starts offering cellular service first.
 - Competition is intense:
 - Price/non-price competition
 - Long-term contracts induce switching costs
 - But: Previous generation also matters:
 - Fixed-mobile substitution
 - Fixed-line incumbent typically also offers mobile through a subsidiary (same brand)
 - Potential complementarity via network effects
- ➔ Potential first-mover advantages in terms of # of subscribers, but possibly also in usage.

Data

- Sources
 - EMC/Informa (Market Research and Telecoms consultancy)
 - Merrill Lynch Global Wireless Matrix
 - IMF International Financial Statistics, World Bank World Development Indicators
- Dataset covering 90+ network operators worldwide, quarterly over 5½ years
- Variables:
 - Minutes of use (MoU)
 - Total # of users
 - Revenue per minute - proxy for price
 - Fixed-line users and fixed-line price
 - Controls: GDP per capita, share of prepaid card users
 - Technology deployed (GSM, US TDMA, etc.)

Econometric Model

Simultaneous Equations: (1) Usage Intensity and (2) Penetration

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \text{ MoU}_{ijt} = & \alpha_{ij} + \delta_0 * \text{MoU}_{ij(t-1)} + \delta_1 * \text{CellP}_{ijt} + \delta_2 * \text{CellP}_{i(-j)t} + \delta_3 * \text{FixedP}_{it} + \\
 & + \delta_4 * \text{CellSubs}_{ijt} + \delta_5 * \text{CellSubs}_{i(-j)t} + \delta_6 * \text{FixedSubs}_{it} + \\
 & + \delta_7 * \text{GDP}_{it} + \delta_8 * \text{Prepay}_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \text{ CellSubs}_{ijt} = & \beta_{ij} + \gamma_0 * \text{CellSubs}_{ij(t-1)} + \gamma_1 * \text{CellP}_{ijt} + \gamma_2 * \text{CellP}_{i(-j)t} + \gamma_3 * \text{FixedP}_{it} + \\
 & + \gamma_4 * \text{MoU}_{ijt} + \gamma_5 * \text{CellSubs}_{i(-j)t} + \gamma_6 * \text{FixedSubs}_{it} + \\
 & + \gamma_7 * \text{GDP}_{it} + \gamma_8 * \text{Prepay}_{ijt} + \zeta_{ijt}
 \end{aligned}$$

Estimation Method: GMM (Arellano-Bond, 1991) with additional instruments for price and penetration variables

Regression Results

	MoU _t	CellSubs _{it}
MoU _{j(t-1)} / CellSubs _{j(t-1)}	0.825^{***}	0.839^{***}
CellP _j	-1.512 ^{***}	0.025
CellP _(-j)	-0.457	0.037
FixedP	-0.581	0.046
MoU _t		0.003
CellSubs _{jt}	-1.454^{***}	
CellSubs _{(-j)t}	0.196	0.039 ^{**}
FixedSubs	-0.373	0.012
Prepay	-0.526 ^{**}	0.049 ^{***}
GDP	2.095 ^{***}	-0.018
AR(2) test	-1.18	-1.34
Hansen J statistic	87.03(195)	74.59(195)
Observations	1044	1013

Explaining Operator-Specific Effects by First-Mover Indicators

	MoU	CellSubs _i
Early entrant	19.094 ^{***}	1.074 ^{**}
Incumbent	14.223 ^{***}	2.337 ^{***}
Early-adopting country	5.661	0.010
Constant	83.006 ^{***}	-4.004 ^{***}
R ²	0.245	0.311
Observations	90	90

Summary

- Both early movers and fixed-line incumbents enjoy first-mover advantages
- **Early entrants** have higher advantage in terms of **usage intensity**
- **Fixed-line incumbents** have higher advantage in terms of **penetration rate**
 - Entering early lets entrants capture high-intensity users.
 - Fixed-line incumbency helps capture comparably low-intensity users, but many of them.
- Extensions
 - Calculate long-run effects
 - Allow the operator-specific effects to vary over time
- Lock-in of high-usage customers by early entrants gives rise to long-lasting asymmetries/advantages
- Reputation advantages of incumbents work across generations of technology



LUDWIG-
MAXIMILIANS-
UNIVERSITÄT
MÜNCHEN

First-Mover Advantages in Mobile Telephony



Institute for
Communication
Economics



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

t.kretschmer@lmu.de